

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BARBARA HUBBARD,)	
)	Civil No. 08-0471-JAH(LSP)
Plaintiff,)	
)	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
v.)	ORDER REGULATING DISCOVERY
)	AND OTHER PRETRIAL PROCEEDINGS
C.V. CENTER, INC., et al.,)	(Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
)	(Local Rule 16.1)
Defendants.)	(Fed. R. Civ. P. 26)

After consulting with the attorneys of record for the parties and being advised of the status of the case, and good cause appearing, the Case Management Conference set for July 15, 2008 at 8:30 AM is vacated.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Any motion to join other parties, to amend the pleadings, or to file additional pleadings shall be filed on or before August 18, 2008.
2. On or before October 17, 2008, all parties shall exchange with all other parties a list of all expert witnesses expected to be called at trial. The list shall include the name, address, and phone number of the expert and a brief statement identifying the subject areas as to which the expert is expected to testify. The list shall also include the normal rates the expert charges for deposition and trial testimony. On or before October 31, 2008, any party may supplement its designation in response to any other party's designation so long as that party has not previously retained an expert to testify on that subject.

3. Each expert witness designated by a party shall prepare a written report to be provided to all other parties **no later than December 19, 2008**, containing the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(A) and (B).

Except as provided in paragraph 4, below, any party that fails to make these disclosures shall not, absent substantial justification, be permitted to use evidence or testimony not disclosed at any hearing or at the time of trial. In addition, the Court may impose sanctions as permitted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c).

4. Any party, through any expert designated, shall in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e), supplement any of its expert reports regarding evidence intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified in an expert report submitted by another party. Any such supplemental reports are due on or before January 9, 2009.

5. All discovery, including expert discovery, shall be completed on or before February 9, 2009.

“Completed” means that all discovery under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must be initiated a sufficient period of time in advance of the cut-off date, so *that it may be completed* by the cut-off date, taking into account the times for services, notice, and response as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All disputes concerning discovery shall be brought to the attention of the Magistrate Judge no later than thirty (30) days following the date upon which the event giving rise to the discovery dispute occurred. Counsel shall meet and confer pursuant to the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and Local Rule 26.1(a).

6. All motions, other than motions to amend or join parties, or motions in limine, **SHALL BE FILED** on or before March 2, 2009.¹

Motions will not be heard or calendared unless counsel for the moving party has obtained a motion hearing date from the law clerk of the judge who will hear the motion. **Be advised that the parties must file their moving papers within three (3) days of receiving the motion hearing date from the Court. Be further advised that the period of time between the date you request a motion**

¹ Counsel should note that while historically motion cut-off deadlines issued by this Court were deadlines for motion hearings, the motion cut-off dates now being issued establish deadlines for the parties to file motions.

date and the hearing date may be up to six weeks. Please plan accordingly. For example, you may need to contact the judge's law clerk at least six weeks in advance of the motion cut-off to calendar the motion. Failure of counsel to timely request a motion date may result in the motion not being heard.

Motions will not be heard on the above date unless you have obtained that date in advance from the judge's law clerk.

7. Briefs or memoranda in support of or in opposition to any pending motion shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length without permission of the judge or magistrate judge who will hear the motion. No reply memorandum shall exceed ten (10) pages without leave of the judge or magistrate judge who will hear the motion.

8. A Mandatory Settlement Conference shall be conducted on December 1, 2008, at 9:00 AM in the chambers of Magistrate Judge Leo S. Papas. Counsel shall submit **confidential** settlement statements **directly to chambers** no later than November 24, 2008. Each party's settlement statement shall set forth the party's statement of the case, identify controlling legal issues, concisely set out issues of liability and damages, and shall set forth the party's settlement position, including the last offer or demand made by that party, and a separate statement of the offer or demand the party is prepared to make at the settlement conference. **Settlement conference briefs shall not be filed with the Clerk of the Court, nor shall they be served on opposing counsel.**

9. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 16.3, all party representatives and claims adjusters for insured defendants with full and unlimited authority² to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement, as well as the principal attorney(s) responsible for the litigation, must be present and legally and factually prepared to discuss and resolve the case at the mandatory settlement conference. Retained outside corporate counsel shall not appear on behalf of a corporation as the party who has the authority to negotiate and enter into a settlement. For good cause, and on ex parte application at least one day before

² "Full authority to settle" means that the individuals at the settlement conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of a party. Pitman v. Brinker Intl., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-486 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose of requiring a person with unlimited settlement authority to attend the conference includes that the person's view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Id. at 486. A limited or a sum certain of authority is not adequate. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590 (8th Cir. 2001).

1 the scheduled settlement conference, Magistrate Judge Papas may excuse a party or representative from
2 personal attendance provided such party or parties will be available by telephone during the conference.
3 Failure to attend the conference or obtain proper excuse will be considered grounds for sanctions.

4 10. All parties or their counsel shall also fully comply with the Pretrial Disclosure require-
5 ments of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) on or before May 11, 2009. **Failure to comply with these disclo-**
6 **tures requirements could result in evidence preclusion or other sanctions under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37.**

7 11. Counsel shall meet together and take the action required by Local Rule 16.1(f)(4) on or
8 before May 18, 2009. At this meeting, counsel shall discuss and attempt to enter into stipulations and
9 agreements resulting in simplification of the triable issues. Counsel shall exchange copies and/or
10 display all exhibits other than those to be used for impeachment. The exhibits shall be prepared in
11 accordance with Local Rule 16.1(f)(4)(c). Counsel shall note any objections they have to any other
12 parties' Pretrial Disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3). Counsel shall cooperate in the preparation
13 of the proposed pretrial conference order.

14 12. The proposed final pretrial conference order, including objections they have to any other
15 parties' Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures shall be prepared, served and lodged with the Clerk
16 of the Court on or before May 26, 2009, and shall be in the form prescribed in and in compliance with
17 Local Rule 16.1 (f)(6). Counsel shall also bring a court copy of the pretrial order to the pretrial
18 conference.

19 13. The final pretrial conference shall be held before the Honorable John A. Houston, United
20 States District Court Judge, on June 1, 2009, at 2:30 PM.

21 14. The dates and times set forth herein will not be further modified except for good cause
22 shown.

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

27 \\\

28 \\\

1 15. Plaintiff's counsel shall serve a copy of this order on all parties that enter this case
2 hereafter.

3
4 DATED: July 14, 2008

5
6 
7 Hon. Leo S. Papas
8 U.S. Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28